

Spinning the Globe? U.S. Public Diplomacy and Foreign Public Opinion

Benjamin E. Goldsmith and Yusaku Horiuchi

(The Journal of Politics, 2009)

[Online Appendix]

- **Appendix A:** Multinational Studies – Sponsoring Organizations, Names (and Abbreviations), Periods, Question Wordings, and Categorization of Responses
- **Appendix B:** A Method to Standardize Surveys
- **Appendix C:** Cases with High-Level Visits
- **Appendix D:** The Number of Surveys by Country, Period and Explanatory Variable
- **Appendix E:** The Dependent and Explanatory Variables, All Observations
- **Appendix F:** Robustness Check
- **Appendix G:** Estimated Effects of Visits in Three Post-9/11 Periods
- **Appendix H:** Other Covariates Considered in Our Preliminary Analysis

Appendix A: Multinational Studies – Sponsoring Organizations, Names (and Abbreviations), Periods, Question Wordings, and Categorization of Responses

- BBC 1** *Sponsoring Organization:* BBC World Service
- BBC 2** *Study Names:* (1) BBC World Service Poll Jan 2005, (2) BBC World Service Poll
- BBC 3** Oct – Dec 2005, (3) BBC Views of Countries
Periods: (1) November 2004 – January 2005, (2) October – December 2005, (3) November 2006 – January 2007
Question Type 6: “Please tell me if you think each of the following are having a mainly positive or mainly negative influence in the world . . . the United States.”
“Mainly positive” = 1. “Mainly negative” = -1. “Depends” = 0. “Neither, no difference” = 0. “Don’t Know/NA” = 0.
- CC** *Sponsoring Organization:* Chicago Council on Global Affairs
Study Name: Chicago Council on Global Affairs 2006 Survey
Period: July 2006 – November 2006
Question Type 2: “How much do you think the following countries take the interest of [survey country] into account when making foreign policy decisions? . . . the U.S.” “A great deal” = 1. “Somewhat” = 1. “Not at all” = -1. “Not very much” = -1. “Not sure/decline” = 0.
- Gallup 1** *Sponsoring Organization:* Gallup International
Study Name: Gallup International End of Year Terrorism Poll 2001
Period: November – December 2001
Question Type 5: “Some say American foreign policy has contributed to the terrorism against the United States. Generally, do you think American foreign policy has a positive effect on <your country>, a negative effect or does American foreign policy have no effect on <your country>?” “A positive effect” = 1. “No effect” = 0. “A negative effect” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0.

- Gallup 2** *Sponsoring Organization:* Gallup International
- Gallup 3** *Study Names:* (1) Voice of the People September 2002, (2) Gallup International
- Gallup 4** Iraq Poll 2003, (3) Gallup International Post War Iraq 2003 Poll
Periods: (1) June 2002 – September 2002, (2) January 2003 – February 2003, (3) April 2003 – May 2003
Question Type 5: “Generally, do you think American foreign policy has a positive effect on <your country>, a negative effect or does American foreign policy have no effect on <your country>?” “A positive effect” = 1. “No effect” = 0. “A negative effect” = -1. “Don’t know/no response” = 0.
- GMF 1** *Sponsoring Organization:* German Marshall Fund of the United States
Study Name: Worldviews 2002 Transatlantic
Period: June 2002 – July 2002
Question Type 9: “How do you rate the George W. Bush administration’s handling of the following problems? Would you say the American administration’s handling of Overall Foreign Policy has been excellent, good, fair, or poor?” “Excellent” = 1. “Good” = 1. “Fair” = 0. “Poor” = -1. “[DK]/[REFUSAL]” = 0.
Question Type 10: “How desirable is it that the United States exert strong leadership in world affairs? Very desirable, somewhat desirable, somewhat undesirable, or very undesirable?” “Very desirable” = 1. “Somewhat desirable” = 1. “Somewhat undesirable” = -1. “Very undesirable” = -1. “[Neither or both equally]” = 0. “[DK]/[REFUSAL]” = 0.

- GMF 2** *Sponsoring Organization:* German Marshall Fund of the United States
- GMF 3** *Study Names:* (1) Transatlantic Trends 2003, (2) Transatlantic Trends 2004, (3)
- GMF 4** Transatlantic Trends 2005, (4) Transatlantic Trends 2006
- GMF 5** *Periods:* (1) June 2003, (2) June 2004, (3) May – June 2005, (4) June 2006
- Question Type 9:* “Do you approve or disapprove of the way the President of the United States George Bush is handling international policies?” “Approve very much” = 1. “Approve somewhat” = 1. “Disapprove somewhat” = -1. “Disapprove very much” = -1. “[DK]/[REFUSAL]” = 0.
- Question Type 10:* “How desirable is it that the United States exert strong leadership in world affairs? Very desirable, somewhat desirable, somewhat undesirable, or very undesirable?” “Very desirable” = 1. “Somewhat desirable” = 1. “Somewhat undesirable” = -1. “Very undesirable” = -1. “[Neither or both equally]” = 0. “[DK]/[REFUSAL]” = 0.
- GlobeScan** *Sponsoring Organization:* GlobeScan with the Program on International Policy Attitudes (PIPA) at the University of Maryland for the BBC World Service
- Study Name:* 19 Nation Poll on Global Issues
- Period:* November 2003 – February 2004
- Question Type 6:* “For each of the following statements, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree. The United States is having a mainly positive influence in the world.” “Strongly agree” = 1. “Somewhat agree” = 1. “Somewhat disagree” = -1. “Strongly disagree” = -1. “Depends/Neither” = 0. “Don’t know/NA” = 0.

Pew 1

Sponsoring Organization: Pew Research Center for the People and the Press
Study Name: Pew Global Attitudes Project (GAP) Survey, Summer 2002 (44 Nations)

Period: July 2002 – October 2002

Question Type 1: “Please tell me if you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable opinion of The United States.” “Very favorable” = 1. “Somewhat favorable” = 1. “Somewhat unfavorable” = -1. “Very unfavorable” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 2: “In making international policy decisions, to what extent do you think the United States takes into account the interests of countries like (survey country) a great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or not at all?” “Great deal” = 1. “Fair amount” = 1. “Not too much” = -1. “Not at all” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 3: “And which of these comes closer to your view? I like American ideas about democracy, OR I dislike American ideas about democracy.” “I like American ideas about democracy.” = 1. “I dislike American ideas about democracy.” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 4: “And which comes closer to describing your view? I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism, OR I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” “I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” = 1. “I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Pew 2

Sponsoring Organization: Pew Research Center for the People and the Press

Study Name: Pew Global Attitudes Project (GAP) Survey, May 2003 (21 Publics)

Period: April 2003 – May 2003

Question Type 1: “Please tell me if you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable opinion of The United States.” “Very favorable” = 1. “Somewhat favorable” = 1. “Somewhat unfavorable” = -1. “Very unfavorable” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 2: “In making international policy decisions, to what extent do you think the United States takes into account the interests of countries like (survey country) a great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or not at all?” “Great deal” = 1. “Fair amount” = 1. “Not too much” = -1. “Not at all” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 3: “And which of these comes closer to your view? I like American ideas about democracy, OR I dislike American ideas about democracy.” “I like American ideas about democracy.” = 1. “I dislike American ideas about democracy.” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 4: “And which comes closer to describing your view? I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism, OR I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” “I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” = 1. “I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 7: “On the subject of Iraq, did (survey country) make the right decision or the wrong decision to use military force against Iraq?” “Right decision” = 1. “Wrong decision” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Question Type 8: “On the subject of Iraq, did (survey country) make the right decision or the wrong decision to not use military force against Iraq?” “Wrong decision” = 1. “Right decision” = -1. “Don’t know” = 0. “Refused” = 0.

Pew 3

Sponsoring Organization: Pew Research Center for the People and the Press

Study Name: Pew Global Attitudes Project (GAP) Survey, March 2004 (9 Nations)

Period: February 2004 – March 2004

Question Type 2: “In making international policy decisions, to what extent do you think the United States takes into account the interests of countries like (survey country)? A great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or not at all?” “A great deal” = 1. “A fair amount” = 1. “Not too much” = -1. “Not much at all” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Question Type 4: “Which of the following phrases comes closer to your view? I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism, OR I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” Favor war on terrorism” = 1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0. “Oppose war on terrorism” = -1.

Question Type 7: “On the subject of Iraq, did (survey country) make the right decision or the wrong decision to use military force against Iraq?” “Right decision” = 1. “Wrong decision” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Question Type 8: “On the subject of Iraq, did (survey country) make the right decision or the wrong decision to not use military force against Iraq?” “Wrong decision” = 1. “Right decision” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Pew 4

Sponsoring Organization: Pew Research Center for the People and the Press
Study Name: Pew Global Attitudes Project (GAP) Survey, May 2005 (17 Nations)
Period: April 2005 – June 2005

Question Type 1: “Please tell me if you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable opinion of The United States.” “Very favorable” = 1. “Somewhat favorable” = 1. “Somewhat unfavorable” = -1. “Very unfavorable” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Question Type 2: “In making international policy decisions, to what extent do you think the United States takes into account the interests of countries like (survey country)? A great deal, a fair amount, not too much, or not at all?” “A great deal” = 1. “A fair amount” = 1. “Not too much” = -1. “Not much at all” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Question Type 4: “Which of the following phrases comes closer to your view? I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism, OR I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” Favor” = 1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0. “Oppose” = -1.

Question Type 7: “On the subject of Iraq, did our country make the right decision or the wrong decision to use military force against Iraq?” “Right decision” = 1. “Wrong decision” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Question Type 8: “On the subject of Iraq, did our country make the right decision or the wrong decision to not use military force against Iraq?” “Wrong decision” = 1. “Right decision” = -1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0.

Pew 5

Sponsoring Organization: Pew Research Center for the People and the Press
Study Name: Pew Global Attitudes Project (GAP) Survey, Spring 2006 (15 Nations)
Period: March 2006 – May 2006

Question Type 4: “Which of the following phrases comes closer to describing your view? I favor the US-led efforts to fight terrorism, OR I oppose the US-led efforts to fight terrorism.” Favor” = 1. “Don’t know/Refused” = 0. “Oppose” = -1.

Appendix B: A Method to Standardize Surveys

We use 19 *multinational studies* conducted by six organizations (See Online Appendix A). These studies include a total of 353 *surveys* conducted in 63 countries, which are the unit of observations in our data analysis (see Online Appendix D). There is often more than one *survey item* (question) relevant for our study in each survey conducted in each country. For example, the *Transatlantic Trends* studies conducted by the German Marshall Fund of the United States in 2003-2007 (GEM 2-5 in Online Appendix A) include two questions relevant to this paper. One is, “Do you approve or disapprove of the way the President of the United States George Bush is handling international policies?” and the other is, “How desirable is it that the United States exert strong leadership in world affairs? Very desirable, somewhat desirable, somewhat undesirable, or very undesirable?” Therefore, we have a total of 547 *survey items* for ten different types of questions (i.e., see exact wordings for Question Types 1 to 10 in Online Appendix A). To compare such heterogeneous surveys in a systematic manner, we took the following procedures.

First, we re-coded the responses to each survey item into the following three categories – the percentage of “positive” responses for the U.S., the percentage of “negative” responses, and the percentage of “other” responses (see Online Appendix A for the categorization of responses). For example, Question Type 1 asks the following question. “Please tell me if you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable or very unfavorable opinion of The United States.” We consider “very favorable” and “somewhat favorable” as positive responses, “somewhat unfavorable” and “very unfavorable” as negative responses, and “don’t know” and “refused” as other responses. We then re-calculated the positive, negative, and other percentages.

Second, to eliminate question-wording effects, all three percentages for each survey item $j = \{1, \dots, 547\}$ were transformed using the following formula

$$x_{qj} - \bar{x}_q + \bar{\bar{x}}$$

where \bar{x}_q is the mean for each Question Type $q = \{1, \dots, 10\}$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}$ is the overall mean. The total number of survey items is 547, including 43, 60, 26, 64, 159, 78, 5, 26, 43, and 43 responses for Question Types 1 to 10, respectively. The means for each Question Type ($\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{10}$) and the overall means ($\bar{\bar{x}}$) are presented in the following table.

Question Type	Number of Survey Items (Questions)	Mean (pos %)	Mean (neg %)	Mean (oth %)
1	43	44.8	49.4	5.7
2	60	31.2	62.3	6.5
3	26	41.8	47.7	10.5
4	64	43.3	49.2	7.6
5	159	24.7	39.5	35.8
6	78	36.4	49.1	14.5
7	5	39.0	53.5	7.5
8	26	12.0	82.3	5.8
9	43	26.7	62.0	11.6
10	43	41.1	51.8	7.2
All	547	32.6	50.6	16.9

As the table clearly suggests, the mean percentages have wide variations across Question Types. Thus, each survey item's positive, negative, and other percentage should be first subtracted by the question-type mean to eliminate question-type effects, and then the overall mean should be added to have all three percentages varying at around the overall means.

Although this “within transformation” (Wooldridge 2002, 267) and the addition of the overall mean can eliminate variations in responses due to different types of questions, it still keeps a varying number of observations *per survey*. Hence, finally, we took the average percentages and kept one observation for each survey in each country, reducing the number of observations from 547 (survey items) to 353 (surveys).

Let us illustrate this transformation with an example. The *Pew GAP Survey Summer 2002* (Pew 1 in Online Appendix A) asked four questions (Question Types 1-4). In France, the percentages of positive response were 62.9%, 21.1%, 42.0%, 74.8%, respectively for each type of question. Therefore, the transformed percentage of positive response in France for this particular survey is given as follows:

$$\frac{1}{4}[(62.9 - 44.8) + (21.1 - 31.2) + (42.0 - 41.8) + (74.8 - 43.4)] + 32.6 = 42.5 .$$

All transformed data are presented in Online Appendix E.

In our preliminary analysis, we estimated various models using each *survey item* as the unit of analysis (with $N=547$). Findings from these models (with a set of survey-item dummies

and all the variables used in our final analysis) are not substantially different from those presented in this article. We, however, prefer to use each survey (in each country) as the unit of analysis to avoid multiple observations for a single high-level visit. If the number of survey items is not constant across surveys, multiple counting may inflate the impact of some visits while deflating that of others.

Appendix C: Cases with High-Level Visits

October 15-16, 2001 (Powell)

Pakistan, Islamabad

Discussed cooperation against terrorism with President Musharraf and senior officials.

December 10-11, 2001 (Powell)

Germany, Berlin

Met with Chancellor Schroeder and Foreign Minister Fischer.

December 11, 2001 (Powell)

France, Paris

Met with President Chirac and Foreign Minister Vedrigne.

May 22-23, 2002 (Bush, Powell)

Germany, Berlin

Met with Chancellor Schroeder and addressed the Bundestag.

May 26-28, 2002 (Bush [May 26-27], Powell)

Paris, St. Mere- Eglise, Colleville

Met with President Chirac and delivered a Memorial Day address in Normandy.

May 27-28, 2002 (Bush, Powell [May 28])

Italy, Rome

Met with President Ciampi and Prime Minister Berlusconi.

Attended NATO Summit Meeting and inaugurated the NATO-Russia Council.

July 28, 2002 (Powell)

Pakistan, Islamabad

Met with President Musharraf and senior officials.

July 29-30, 2002 (Powell)

Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur

Met with Prime Minister Mahathir and Foreign Minister Albar.

April 1-2, 2003 (Powell)

Turkey, Ankara

Discussed the conflict in Iraq and economic aid with senior Turkish officials.

April 7-8, 2003 (Bush, Powell)

United Kingdom, Belfast, Hillsborough (Northern Ireland)

Met with Prime Minister Blair to discuss the reconstruction of Iraq.

Also met with Irish Prime Minister Ahern and Northern Irish political leaders.

May 1-2, 2003 (Powell)

Spain, Madrid

Met with Prime Minister Aznar and Foreign Minister Palacio.

May 3, 2003 (Powell)

Lebanon, Beirut

Met with President Lahoud and Foreign Minister Obeid.

May 15-16, 2003 (Powell)

Germany, Berlin

Met with Chancellor Schroeder and Foreign Minister Fischer.

May 30-31, 2003 (Bush, Powell)

Poland, Krakow, Auschwitz-Birkenau

Met with President Kwasniewski and Prime Minister Miller.

Visited former Nazi concentration camps.

June 1-2, 2003 (Powell)

Italy, Rome

Met with Foreign Minister Frattini.

November 18-21, 2003 (Bush, Powell [Nov. 18-20])

United Kingdom, London, Sedgefield

State Visit.

January 25-27, 2004 (Powell)

Russia, Moscow

Met with President Putin and Foreign Minister Ivanov.

June 4-5, 2004 (Bush, Powell)

Italy, Rome

Met with President Ciampi and Prime Minister Berlusconi.

June 5-6, 2004 (Bush, Powell)

France, Paris, Colleville, Caen, Arromanches

Met with President Chirac and commemorated the 60th anniversary of D-Day.

October 25-26, 2004 (Powell)

Korea, Republic of, Seoul

Met with President Roh and Foreign Minister Ban.

November 8-9, 2004 (Powell)

Mexico, Mexico City

Attended U.S.-Mexico Binational Commission meeting.

November 19-22, 2004 (Bush, Powell [Nov. 17-21])

Chile, Santiago

Attended APEC Summit Meeting.

April 19-20, 2005 (Rice)

Russia, Moscow

Met with President Putin, Prime Minister Lavrov, and senior Russian officials

May 7-8, 2005 (Bush, Rice)

Netherlands, Maastricht, Valkenburg (Bush only), Margraten

Met with Prime Minister Balkenende and gave an address at a U.S. military cemetery.

October 13-14 2005 (Rice)

France, Paris

Met with President Chirac and Foreign Minister Douste-Blazy.

October 15-16, 2005 (Rice)

United Kingdom, London

Met with Prime Minister Blair and Foreign Secretary Straw.

October 24-25, 2005 (Rice)

Canada, Ottawa

Met with Prime Minister Martin and Foreign Minister Pettegrew.

March 3-4, 2006 (Bush, Rice)

Pakistan, Islamabad

Met with President Musharraf.

March 13-15, 2006 (Rice)

Indonesia, Jakarta

Met with President Yudhoyono and Foreign Minister Wirajuda.

Addressed Indonesia Council on World Affairs.

March 29-31, 2006 (Rice)

Germany, Berlin

Met with Chancellor Merkel and with the Foreign Ministers of the Permanent Members of the UN Security Council.

March 31, 2006 (Rice)

France, Paris

Met with President Chirac.

October 19-20, 2006 (Rice)

Korea, Republic of, Seoul

Met with President Roh and Foreign Minister Ban.

October 20-21, 2006 (Rice)

China, People's Republic of, Beijing

Met with Foreign Minister Li.

October 21-22, 2006 (Rice)

Russia, Moscow

Met with President Putin and Foreign Minister Lavrov.

November 15, 2006 (Bush)

Russia, Moscow

Met with President Putin

November 20, 2006 (Bush, Rice)

Indonesia, Jakarta (Bush only), Bogor

Met with President Yudhoyono.

Appendix D: The Number of Surveys by Country, Period and Explanatory Variable

Table D.1

Country	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3		Total	
	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit
Albania	2		1				3	
Argentina	3		2		3		8	
Australia	1		1		3		5	
Austria	2		1				3	
Bolivia	2						2	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3						3	
Brazil	1		2		3		6	
Bulgaria	3		1				4	
Cameroon	3		1				4	
Canada	3		3		3	1	9	1
Chile			1		1	1	2	1
China			1		4	1	5	1
Colombia	3		1				4	
Croatia	2						2	
Denmark	3		1				4	
Ecuador	2		1				3	
Estonia	2		1				3	
Finland	3		1				4	
France	2	2	5		5	3	12	5
Georgia	3		1				4	
Germany	3	2	4	1	7	1	14	4
Greece	1		1				2	
Hong Kong	3						3	
Iceland	1		1				2	
India	3		2		6		11	
Indonesia	1		2		3	2	6	2
Ireland	3						3	
Israel			1		1		2	
Italy	3	1	2	1	5	1	10	3
Japan	3		1		1		5	
Jordan	1		2		2		5	
Kenya	1		1		2		4	

Note: For the definitions of periods and the explanatory variable (with or without visit), see Note in Table 1.

Table D.2

Country	Period 1		Period 2		Period 3		Total	
	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit	without visit	with visit
Korea, South	3		2		1	2	6	2
Kosovo	1		1				2	
Latvia	1		1				2	
Lebanon				1	3		3	1
Lithuania	1		1				2	
Luxembourg	3						3	
Macedonia	3		1				4	
Malaysia	2	1	1				3	1
Mexico			1		2	1	3	1
Morocco			2		1		3	
Netherlands	4		2		2	1	8	1
New Zealand	1		1				2	
Nigeria	4		3		3		10	
Norway	2						2	
Pakistan	1	3**	3		1	1	5	4
Peru	2						2	
Philippines	1		1		3		5	
Poland	4		1	1	8		13	1
Portugal	3		2		3		8	
Romania	3						3	
Russia	4		3	1	4	2	11	3
Slovakia					3		3	
South Africa	2		2		2		6	
Spain	3		2	1	6		11	1
Sweden	2						2	
Switzerland	3		1				4	
Turkey	3		2	2*	8		13	2
Ukraine	1				1		2	
United Kingdom	5		2	3**	5	1	12	4
Uruguay	2		1				3	
Yugoslavia	2						2	
Total	132	9	78	11	105	18	315	38

Note: See Note in Table D.1. * two surveys for one visit, ** three surveys for two visits; the rest of “with visit” cases indicating the number of visits (each followed by one survey).

Appendix E: The Dependent and Explanatory Variables, All Observations

Table E.1

Period	Country	Study	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
1	Albania	Gallup 1	11/30/01	69.89	14.08	16.03		
1	Albania	Gallup 3	01/21/03	87.76	12.10	0.03		
1	Argentina	Gallup 1	11/30/01	19.90	68.07	12.03		
1	Argentina	Gallup 2	08/15/02	20.27	76.02	3.71		
1	Argentina	Gallup 3	01/16/03	20.90	69.07	10.03		
1	Australia	Gallup 3	01/22/03	38.48	61.67	0.10		
1	Austria	Gallup 1	11/20/01	19.30	43.48	37.23		
1	Austria	Gallup 2	07/19/02	12.88	54.12	33.01		
1	Bolivia	Gallup 1	11/08/01	24.17	64.93	10.93		
1	Bolivia	Gallup 3	01/15/03	32.90	66.08	1.03		
1	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Gallup 1	11/12/01	40.89	39.08	20.03		
1	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Gallup 2	07/15/02	32.88	67.26	0.10		
1	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Gallup 3	01/13/03	32.90	66.08	1.03		
1	Brazil	Pew 1	07/02/02	37.00	41.55	21.47		
1	Bulgaria	Gallup 1	12/01/01	24.20	35.48	40.33		
1	Bulgaria	Gallup 2	07/25/02	22.78	38.90	38.32		
1	Bulgaria	Gallup 3	01/16/03	32.90	52.08	15.03		
1	Cameroon	Gallup 1	11/30/01	29.90	33.08	37.03		
1	Cameroon	Gallup 2	07/27/02	29.77	62.92	7.32		
1	Cameroon	Gallup 3	01/14/03	28.61	52.70	18.97		
1	Canada	Pew 1	07/16/02	46.59	38.95	14.47		
1	Canada	Gallup 2	08/21/02	34.45	63.19	2.37		
1	Canada	Gallup 3	01/21/03	29.90	64.08	6.03		
1	Colombia	Gallup 1	12/01/01	43.29	49.88	6.83		
1	Colombia	Gallup 2	07/18/02	59.63	35.83	4.55		
1	Colombia	Gallup 3	01/13/03	53.71	47.19	0.10		
1	Croatia	Gallup 1	11/12/01	37.77	41.19	21.05		
1	Croatia	Gallup 2	07/19/02	48.91	40.34	10.75		
1	Denmark	Gallup 1	12/08/01	41.89	42.08	16.03		
1	Denmark	Gallup 2	08/06/02	43.55	46.14	10.31		
1	Denmark	Gallup 3	01/14/03	24.90	69.07	6.03		
1	Ecuador	Gallup 1	12/11/01	23.90	65.07	11.03		
1	Ecuador	Gallup 3	01/27/03	16.90	50.08	33.03		
1	Estonia	Gallup 1	11/28/01	40.89	34.08	25.03		
1	Estonia	Gallup 3	01/21/03	47.37	36.27	16.09		
1	Finland	Gallup 1	12/07/01	15.90	27.08	57.03		
1	Finland	Gallup 2	08/09/02	16.01	42.78	41.21		
1	Finland	Gallup 3	01/17/03	14.75	56.59	28.92		
1	France	Gallup 1	12/14/01	29.90	49.08	21.03		12/11/01
1	France	GMF 1	06/05/02	33.45	28.27	38.09	05/27/02	05/28/02
1	France	Pew 1	07/22/02	42.54	45.09	12.38		
1	France	Gallup 3	01/14/03	16.90	82.07	1.03		
1	Georgia	Gallup 1	12/08/01	25.40	32.78	41.83		
1	Georgia	Gallup 2	06/25/02	44.19	28.68	27.13		
1	Georgia	Gallup 3	01/11/03	46.36	38.29	15.08		
1	Germany	Gallup 1	12/14/01	38.90	51.08	10.03		12/11/01
1	Germany	GMF 1	06/05/02	50.55	13.13	36.42	05/23/02	05/23/02

Note: See Appendix A for the full study names. The fourth column indicates the date when each survey started in each country. The last two columns indicate the date when the President (Bush) or the Secretary of State (Powell or Rice) left the country. For the definitions of periods, see Note in Table 1.

Table E.2

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
1	Germany	Pew 1	07/12/02	48.77	37.30	13.94		
1	Germany	Gallup 2	08/01/02	35.52	62.38	2.10		
1	Germany	Gallup 3	01/20/03	18.90	78.07	3.03		
1	Greece	Gallup 1	11/13/01	21.90	60.07	18.03		
1	Hong Kong	Gallup 1	12/05/01	33.90	41.08	25.03		
1	Hong Kong	Gallup 2	08/02/02	47.27	42.19	10.54		
1	Hong Kong	Gallup 3	01/17/03	46.89	44.08	9.03		
1	Iceland	Gallup 3	01/14/03	20.10	51.49	28.14		
1	India	Gallup 1	12/10/01	17.60	75.77	6.63		
1	India	Gallup 2	07/20/02	41.66	52.67	5.68		
1	India	Gallup 3	01/16/03	34.90	57.08	8.03		
1	Indonesia	Pew 1	07/20/02	38.44	45.25	16.32		
1	Ireland	Gallup 1	11/16/01	42.89	35.08	22.03		
1	Ireland	Gallup 2	07/23/02	45.55	40.49	13.97		
1	Ireland	Gallup 3	01/15/03	47.39	52.78	0.10		
1	Italy	Gallup 1	11/15/01	47.89	34.08	18.03		
1	Italy	GMF 1	06/05/02	59.11	14.69	26.04	05/28/02	05/28/02
1	Italy	Pew 1	07/05/02	47.41	32.70	19.90		
1	Italy	Gallup 2	09/05/02	35.72	54.01	10.28		
1	Japan	Gallup 1	11/28/01	12.94	47.20	39.78		
1	Japan	Pew 1	07/24/02	48.47	34.44	17.10		
1	Japan	Gallup 2	08/07/02	23.22	59.48	17.31		
1	Jordan	Pew 1	09/05/02	16.01	73.26	10.74		
1	Kenya	Gallup 1	12/10/01	41.99	53.08	4.93		
1	Korea, South	Gallup 1	11/20/01	28.60	66.37	5.03		
1	Korea, South	Gallup 2	07/18/02	20.89	65.73	13.38		
1	Korea, South	Pew 1	07/28/02	31.95	54.83	13.23		
1	Kosovo	Gallup 1	11/20/01	81.89	15.08	3.03		
1	Latvia	Gallup 1	11/20/01	36.64	28.92	34.45		
1	Lithuania	Gallup 1	11/28/01	36.10	36.28	27.63		
1	Luxembourg	Gallup 1	12/03/01	40.89	29.08	30.03		
1	Luxembourg	Gallup 2	08/01/02	34.09	41.05	24.87		
1	Luxembourg	Gallup 3	01/22/03	19.90	67.07	13.03		
1	Macedonia	Gallup 1	11/22/01	32.50	61.48	6.03		
1	Macedonia	Gallup 2	07/13/02	31.00	69.84	0.10		
1	Macedonia	Gallup 3	01/12/03	30.90	63.08	6.03		
1	Malaysia	Gallup 1	11/12/01	15.90	58.07	26.03		
1	Malaysia	Gallup 2	08/05/02	25.61	50.13	24.26		07/30/02
1	Malaysia	Gallup 3	01/13/03	19.90	40.08	40.03		
1	Netherlands	Gallup 1	12/08/01	19.90	31.08	49.03		
1	Netherlands	GMF 1	06/05/02	50.30	11.16	38.50		
1	Netherlands	Gallup 2	08/01/02	22.48	57.87	19.66		
1	Netherlands	Gallup 3	01/24/03	15.05	66.64	18.08		
1	New Zealand	Gallup 3	01/23/03	34.90	57.08	8.03		
1	Nigeria	Gallup 1	12/07/01	36.90	36.08	27.03		
1	Nigeria	Gallup 2	07/13/02	45.22	42.20	12.58		
1	Nigeria	Pew 1	09/11/02	70.66	12.51	16.84		
1	Nigeria	Gallup 3	01/15/03	47.89	37.08	15.03		
1	Norway	Gallup 1	12/02/01	32.16	45.35	22.52		
1	Norway	Gallup 2	08/01/02	32.15	61.01	6.85		
1	Pakistan	Gallup 1	11/18/01	18.90	53.08	28.03		10/16/01
1	Pakistan	Gallup 2	08/04/02	21.10	45.47	33.44		07/28/02

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Table E.3

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
1	Pakistan	Pew 1	08/09/02	10.38	53.40	36.23		07/28/02
1	Pakistan	Gallup 3	01/13/03	16.06	57.56	26.12		
1	Peru	Gallup 1	12/15/01	27.40	62.68	9.93		
1	Peru	Gallup 2	08/07/02	58.51	36.76	4.74		
1	Philippines	Gallup 1	11/26/01	66.18	33.96	0.10		
1	Poland	Gallup 1	11/26/01	45.23	45.65	9.04		
1	Poland	GMF 1	06/05/02	61.51	6.65	31.94		
1	Poland	Pew 1	07/09/02	55.59	23.73	20.69		
1	Poland	Gallup 2	08/26/02	39.98	36.42	23.61		
1	Portugal	Gallup 1	12/14/01	25.62	35.00	39.35		
1	Portugal	Gallup 2	07/08/02	22.23	25.29	52.49		
1	Portugal	Gallup 3	01/20/03	29.90	47.08	23.03		
1	Romania	Gallup 1	11/30/01	46.89	27.08	26.03		
1	Romania	Gallup 2	07/30/02	38.15	27.20	34.65		
1	Romania	Gallup 3	01/23/03	55.89	39.08	5.03		
1	Russia	Gallup 1	11/26/01	21.90	65.07	13.03		
1	Russia	Pew 1	07/05/02	37.93	40.59	21.49		
1	Russia	Gallup 2	07/10/02	19.96	62.19	17.86		
1	Russia	Gallup 3	01/16/03	18.90	66.07	15.03		
1	South Africa	Gallup 2	07/31/02	43.46	41.23	15.31		
1	South Africa	Gallup 3	01/20/03	41.89	42.08	16.03		
1	Spain	Gallup 1	12/17/01	21.90	48.08	30.03		
1	Spain	Gallup 2	09/02/02	22.75	60.38	16.87		
1	Spain	Gallup 3	01/17/03	16.90	68.07	15.03		
1	Sweden	Gallup 1	11/19/01	33.90	39.08	27.03		
1	Sweden	Gallup 2	07/15/02	32.39	59.36	8.25		
1	Switzerland	Gallup 1	11/08/01	20.90	51.08	28.03		
1	Switzerland	Gallup 2	07/11/02	19.38	70.82	9.80		
1	Switzerland	Gallup 3	01/29/03	16.90	75.07	8.03		
1	Turkey	Gallup 1	12/01/01	21.28	65.52	13.23		
1	Turkey	Pew 1	07/21/02	19.94	56.82	23.25		
1	Turkey	Gallup 2	08/13/02	22.10	77.00	0.90		
1	Ukraine	Pew 1	07/11/02	30.84	55.06	14.11		
1	United Kingdom	Gallup 1	11/23/01	47.89	40.08	12.03		
1	United Kingdom	GMF 1	06/05/02	49.80	17.17	33.00		
1	United Kingdom	Pew 1	07/15/02	49.98	31.84	18.20		
1	United Kingdom	Gallup 2	08/07/02	37.93	62.24	0.10		
1	United Kingdom	Gallup 3	01/17/03	37.90	53.08	9.03		
1	Uruguay	Gallup 1	12/01/01	23.90	56.08	20.03		
1	Uruguay	Gallup 3	01/09/03	24.90	65.07	10.03		
1	Yugoslavia	Gallup 1	11/25/01	27.90	67.07	5.03		
1	Yugoslavia	Gallup 3	01/14/03	16.90	79.07	4.03		
2	Albania	Gallup 4	05/01/03	86.37	14.01	0.10		
2	Argentina	Gallup 4	04/22/03	14.90	72.07	13.03		
2	Argentina	GlobeScan	11/27/03	11.18	73.48	15.33		
2	Australia	Gallup 4	04/30/03	38.90	54.08	7.03		
2	Austria	Gallup 4	04/28/03	11.90	37.08	51.03		
2	Brazil	Pew 2	04/30/03	26.58	58.42	15.02		
2	Brazil	GlobeScan	11/27/03	48.19	46.47	5.33		
2	Bulgaria	Gallup 4	04/17/03	29.60	38.81	31.89		
2	Cameroon	Gallup 4	04/24/03	37.90	34.08	28.03		
2	Canada	Gallup 4	04/16/03	27.62	60.64	12.00		

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Table E.4

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
2	Canada	Pew 2	04/29/03	46.24	39.86	13.91		
2	Canada	GlobeScan	12/04/03	37.19	56.47	6.33		
2	Chile	GlobeScan	12/18/03	27.46	63.94	8.35		
2	China	GlobeScan	12/20/03	34.19	49.47	16.33		
2	Colombia	Gallup 4	04/22/03	53.89	45.08	1.03		
2	Denmark	Gallup 4	04/28/03	35.90	46.08	18.03		
2	Ecuador	Gallup 4	05/06/03	17.90	75.07	7.03		
2	Estonia	Gallup 4	04/29/03	24.65	34.82	40.83		
2	Finland	Gallup 4	04/25/03	11.90	37.08	51.03		
2	France	Gallup 4	04/24/03	16.90	70.07	13.03		
2	France	Pew 2	04/29/03	29.28	59.99	10.74		
2	France	GMF 2	06/10/03	19.77	69.72	10.49		
2	France	GlobeScan	02/18/04	18.19	75.48	6.33		
2	France	Pew 3	02/23/04	28.81	58.98	12.22		
2	Georgia	Gallup 4	04/29/03	46.89	28.08	25.03		
2	Germany	Gallup 4	04/28/03	29.90	57.08	13.03		
2	Germany	Pew 2	05/03/03	36.21	51.13	12.67		
2	Germany	GMF 2	06/10/03	29.28	59.21	11.49		05/16/03
2	Germany	GlobeScan	12/03/03	13.18	83.48	3.33		
2	Germany	Pew 3	02/23/04	35.48	51.98	12.55		
2	Greece	Gallup 4	04/22/03	21.90	62.07	16.03		
2	Iceland	Gallup 4	04/23/03	21.68	48.70	29.91		
2	India	Gallup 4	04/29/03	27.90	62.08	10.03		
2	India	GlobeScan	12/15/03	65.20	26.47	8.33		
2	Indonesia	Pew 2	05/01/03	22.28	64.18	13.55		
2	Indonesia	GlobeScan	12/09/03	35.19	59.47	5.33		
2	Israel	Pew 2	05/01/03	80.70	7.77	11.53		
2	Italy	Pew 2	05/05/03	48.19	38.65	13.17		
2	Italy	GMF 2	06/10/03	41.79	47.19	10.99		06/02/03
2	Italy	GlobeScan	01/13/04	31.50	57.87	10.36		
2	Japan	Gallup 4	04/24/03	22.90	64.07	13.03		
2	Jordan	Pew 2	05/05/03	10.72	78.54	10.75		
2	Jordan	Pew 3	02/24/04	14.15	66.64	19.22		
2	Kenya	Gallup 4	04/30/03	40.89	56.08	3.03		
2	Korea, South	Gallup 4	05/03/03	31.90	66.08	2.03		
2	Korea, South	Pew 2	05/03/03	30.11	55.63	14.27		
2	Kosovo	Gallup 4	04/22/03	85.82	14.93	0.10		
2	Latvia	Gallup 4	04/30/03	42.47	38.86	18.96		
2	Lebanon	Pew 2	05/06/03	20.97	66.03	13.01		05/03/03
2	Lithuania	Gallup 4	04/21/03	29.90	33.08	37.03		
2	Macedonia	Gallup 4	04/21/03	29.90	48.08	22.03		
2	Malaysia	Gallup 4	04/22/03	14.90	66.07	19.03		
2	Mexico	GlobeScan	11/21/03	42.19	43.47	14.33		
2	Morocco	Pew 2	05/05/03	18.32	65.18	16.51		
2	Morocco	Pew 3	02/19/04	27.56	54.89	17.55		
2	Netherlands	Gallup 4	04/24/03	27.90	71.07	1.03		
2	Netherlands	GMF 2	06/10/03	45.80	44.69	9.49		
2	New Zealand	Gallup 4	05/01/03	20.90	49.08	30.03		
2	Nigeria	Gallup 4	04/23/03	41.89	38.08	20.03		
2	Nigeria	Pew 2	05/01/03	56.04	31.48	12.49		
2	Nigeria	GlobeScan	12/08/03	52.20	40.47	7.33		
2	Pakistan	Gallup 4	04/28/03	19.90	54.08	26.03		

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Table E.5

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
2	Pakistan	Pew 2	05/06/03	15.19	65.06	19.76		
2	Pakistan	Pew 3	02/23/04	19.10	44.45	36.46		
2	Philippines	Gallup 4	04/14/03	66.20	34.61	0.10		
2	Poland	Gallup 4	04/28/03	42.89	43.08	14.03		
2	Poland	GMF 2	06/10/03	54.31	25.67	19.99	05/31/03	05/31/03
2	Portugal	Gallup 4	04/24/03	40.30	31.27	28.15		
2	Portugal	GMF 2	06/10/03	40.79	41.19	17.99		
2	Russia	Pew 2	04/28/03	26.71	50.06	23.24		
2	Russia	Gallup 4	04/30/03	14.04	65.64	20.09		
2	Russia	GlobeScan	12/04/03	11.07	72.83	16.29		
2	Russia	Pew 3	02/20/04	38.18	44.93	16.90		01/27/04
2	South Africa	Gallup 4	05/02/03	33.90	49.08	17.03		
2	South Africa	GlobeScan	11/21/03	47.19	39.47	13.33		
2	Spain	Gallup 4	04/24/03	27.62	59.64	12.99		
2	Spain	Pew 2	05/08/03	31.53	53.00	15.48		05/02/03
2	Spain	GlobeScan	12/22/03	28.90	62.03	9.30		
2	Switzerland	Gallup 4	04/24/03	16.90	54.08	29.03		
2	Turkey	Gallup 4	04/25/03	23.47	77.48	0.10		04/02/03
2	Turkey	Pew 2	05/02/03	9.08	75.30	15.62		04/02/03
2	Turkey	GlobeScan	11/10/03	7.18	70.48	22.33		
2	Turkey	Pew 3	02/21/04	28.05	54.85	17.20		
2	United Kingdom	Pew 2	04/29/03	46.75	38.12	15.14	04/08/03	04/08/03
2	United Kingdom	Gallup 4	05/02/03	36.90	48.08	15.03	04/08/03	04/08/03
2	United Kingdom	GMF 2	06/10/03	43.80	41.18	14.99		
2	United Kingdom	GlobeScan	12/08/03	43.19	50.47	6.33	11/21/03	11/20/03
2	United Kingdom	Pew 3	02/23/04	42.14	41.55	16.32		
2	Uruguay	Gallup 4	04/22/03	19.90	64.07	16.03		
3	Argentina	BBC 1	12/10/04	15.34	67.05	17.38		
3	Argentina	BBC 2	10/26/05	15.18	63.48	21.33		
3	Argentina	BBC 3	11/23/06	9.18	65.48	25.33		
3	Australia	BBC 1	12/01/04	35.83	53.13	11.30		
3	Australia	BBC 2	11/25/05	24.94	61.02	14.29		
3	Australia	BBC 3	12/08/06	25.19	61.48	13.33		
3	Brazil	BBC 1	11/16/04	38.19	52.47	9.33		
3	Brazil	BBC 2	11/03/05	29.19	61.47	9.33		
3	Brazil	BBC 3	11/17/06	25.44	58.91	15.38		
3	Canada	BBC 1	11/23/04	30.19	61.47	8.33		
3	Canada	Pew 4	05/06/05	34.90	50.84	14.20		
3	Canada	BBC 2	11/14/05	26.19	61.48	12.33		10/25/05
3	Canada	BBC 3	12/15/06	30.19	57.47	12.33		
3	Chile	BBC 1	12/10/04	25.44	51.86	22.41	11/22/04	11/21/04
3	Chile	BBC 3	11/03/06	28.47	52.85	18.39		
3	China	BBC 1	12/04/04	36.19	43.47	20.33		
3	China	Pew 4	05/21/05	54.43	26.28	19.31		
3	China	BBC 2	11/11/05	18.01	62.96	19.27		
3	China	CC	07/10/06	37.44	46.27	16.31		
3	China	BBC 3	11/14/06	23.95	53.07	23.25		10/21/06
3	France	GMF 3	06/06/04	16.76	73.23	9.98	06/06/04	06/06/04
3	France	BBC 1	12/08/04	33.85	55.11	11.30		
3	France	Pew 4	05/02/05	29.81	59.51	10.69		
3	France	GMF 4	05/30/05	19.27	71.22	9.48		
3	France	BBC 2	11/10/05	21.19	66.48	12.33		10/14/05

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Table E.6

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
3	France	Pew 5	04/05/06	32.03	57.99	10.24		03/31/06
3	France	GMF 5	06/05/06	19.77	68.72	11.49		
3	France	BBC 3	11/23/06	20.39	71.04	8.35		
3	Germany	GMF 3	06/06/04	23.16	66.46	10.47		
3	Germany	BBC 1	11/29/04	22.96	64.98	12.30		
3	Germany	Pew 4	04/27/05	34.81	51.01	14.19		
3	Germany	GMF 4	05/30/05	26.14	64.47	9.47		
3	Germany	BBC 2	11/11/05	17.19	66.48	16.33		
3	Germany	Pew 5	04/05/06	36.35	51.39	12.27		03/31/06
3	Germany	GMF 5	06/05/06	26.51	63.25	10.46		
3	Germany	BBC 3	11/13/06	12.18	75.48	12.33		
3	India	BBC 1	12/04/04	49.70	31.31	19.26		
3	India	Pew 4	05/01/05	52.89	28.33	18.79		
3	India	BBC 2	11/20/05	40.19	18.47	41.33		
3	India	Pew 5	04/15/06	54.35	31.39	14.27		
3	India	CC	07/09/06	45.89	34.46	19.38		
3	India	BBC 3	12/05/06	26.45	29.69	43.56		
3	Indonesia	BBC 1	11/30/04	34.19	52.47	13.33		
3	Indonesia	Pew 4	04/30/05	40.06	40.76	19.19		
3	Indonesia	BBC 2	11/23/05	36.56	48.78	14.38		
3	Indonesia	Pew 5	04/08/06	28.36	58.38	13.27		03/15/06
3	Indonesia	BBC 3	12/13/06	17.02	71.88	11.30	11/20/06	11/20/06
3	Israel	CC	11/14/06	83.41	2.28	14.31		
3	Italy	GMF 3	06/06/04	34.11	54.52	11.47	06/05/04	06/05/04
3	Italy	BBC 1	12/03/04	45.19	41.47	13.33		
3	Italy	GMF 4	05/30/05	29.28	59.21	11.49		
3	Italy	BBC 2	11/07/05	30.19	47.47	22.33		
3	Italy	GMF 5	06/05/06	25.90	63.45	10.50		
3	Italy	BBC 3	11/15/06	30.88	48.14	21.25		
3	Japan	Pew 5	03/31/06	15.36	73.38	11.27		
3	Jordan	Pew 4	05/03/05	14.32	74.00	11.69		
3	Jordan	Pew 5	04/05/06	5.31	74.67	20.22		
3	Kenya	BBC 2	12/01/05	61.82	13.52	24.41		
3	Kenya	BBC 3	11/13/06	65.54	21.39	13.29		
3	Korea, South	BBC 1	11/26/04	48.19	46.47	5.33		10/26/04
3	Korea, South	BBC 2	11/15/05	40.19	54.47	5.33		
3	Korea, South	BBC 3	11/10/06	30.88	55.09	14.29		10/20/06
3	Lebanon	BBC 1	12/15/04	29.19	50.47	20.33		
3	Lebanon	Pew 4	05/03/05	28.56	56.01	15.44		
3	Lebanon	BBC 3	12/06/06	30.19	59.47	10.33		
3	Mexico	BBC 1	11/16/04	7.18	58.48	34.33		11/09/04
3	Mexico	BBC 2	11/05/05	6.18	56.48	37.33		
3	Mexico	BBC 3	12/11/06	8.18	54.48	37.33		
3	Morocco	Pew 4	06/06/05	28.39	53.60	17.95		
3	Netherlands	GMF 3	06/06/04	43.08	48.55	8.47		
3	Netherlands	GMF 4	05/30/05	44.02	46.32	9.50	05/08/05	05/08/05
3	Netherlands	GMF 5	06/05/06	36.11	52.53	11.47		
3	Nigeria	BBC 2	11/21/05	72.20	18.46	9.33		
3	Nigeria	Pew 5	04/20/06	38.35	48.39	13.27		
3	Nigeria	BBC 3	11/10/06	68.20	21.46	10.33		
3	Pakistan	Pew 4	05/02/05	26.07	43.76	30.19		
3	Pakistan	Pew 5	04/07/06	19.55	51.80	28.37	03/04/06	03/04/06

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Table E.7

Period	Country	Survey	Date	pos %	neg %	oth %	Visit-P	Visit-SS
3	Philippines	BBC 1	12/11/04	84.20	10.46	5.33		
3	Philippines	BBC 2	11/21/05	80.40	11.44	8.31		
3	Philippines	BBC 3	11/18/06	68.20	12.46	19.33		
3	Poland	GMF 3	06/06/04	39.09	42.56	18.46		
3	Poland	BBC 1	11/25/04	48.19	22.47	29.33		
3	Poland	Pew 4	04/27/05	50.33	27.32	22.22		
3	Poland	GMF 4	05/30/05	46.07	34.09	19.96		
3	Poland	BBC 2	11/11/05	58.78	16.53	24.42		
3	Poland	GMF 5	06/05/06	38.60	38.57	22.95		
3	Poland	CC	09/09/06	12.45	64.25	23.31		
3	Poland	BBC 3	12/01/06	33.85	25.30	41.13		
3	Portugal	GMF 3	06/06/04	25.65	54.00	20.46		
3	Portugal	GMF 4	05/30/05	34.61	49.03	16.46		
3	Portugal	GMF 5	06/05/06	27.41	56.41	16.01		
3	Russia	BBC 1	12/02/04	12.18	64.48	23.33		
3	Russia	Pew 4	04/28/05	33.40	48.59	17.95		04/20/05
3	Russia	BBC 2	11/23/05	18.19	53.47	28.33		
3	Russia	Pew 5	04/06/06	41.35	36.39	22.27		
3	Russia	CC	09/21/06	27.44	54.26	18.31		
3	Russia	BBC 3	11/24/06	15.18	60.48	24.33	11/15/06	10/22/06
3	Slovakia	GMF 3	06/06/04	20.17	63.46	16.46		
3	Slovakia	GMF 4	05/30/05	33.12	52.02	14.96		
3	Slovakia	GMF 5	06/05/06	19.87	63.47	16.51		
3	South Africa	BBC 1	01/03/05	52.20	36.47	11.33		
3	South Africa	BBC 2	10/20/05	45.19	19.47	35.33		
3	Spain	GMF 3	06/06/04	12.70	73.91	13.47		
3	Spain	Pew 4	04/20/05	20.61	62.56	16.78		
3	Spain	GMF 4	05/30/05	15.76	71.23	12.99		
3	Spain	BBC 2	11/30/05	29.19	54.47	16.33		
3	Spain	Pew 5	04/07/06	8.36	77.38	14.27		
3	Spain	GMF 5	06/05/06	13.19	75.41	11.47		
3	Turkey	GMF 3	06/06/04	13.33	65.00	21.52		
3	Turkey	BBC 1	12/09/04	14.33	64.03	21.40		
3	Turkey	Pew 4	04/27/05	16.53	63.62	19.92		
3	Turkey	GMF 4	05/30/05	15.68	68.93	15.47		
3	Turkey	BBC 2	10/17/05	11.18	50.48	38.33		
3	Turkey	Pew 5	04/01/06	3.36	78.38	18.27		
3	Turkey	GMF 5	06/05/06	9.26	68.73	21.99		
3	Turkey	BBC 3	11/10/06	3.18	70.48	26.33		
3	Ukraine	CC	09/08/06	27.72	51.63	20.38		
3	United Kingdom	GMF 3	06/06/04	39.29	47.19	13.49		
3	United Kingdom	BBC 1	11/15/04	40.19	51.47	8.33		
3	United Kingdom	Pew 4	04/25/05	37.31	46.19	16.51		
3	United Kingdom	GMF 4	05/30/05	41.09	45.06	13.96		
3	United Kingdom	BBC 2	11/11/05	31.87	58.08	10.30		10/16/05
3	United Kingdom	GMF 5	06/05/06	32.95	52.37	14.51		

Note: See Note in Table E.1.

Appendix F: Robustness Check

Table F.1: Estimated Effects of Visits on Percentage of Positive Responses

Period	Time Lag	Statistical Model	Estimated Effect	Standard Error	P-value
Period 1	28 days	OLS	8.24	3.37	0.02
		GEE	9.05	3.95	0.02
		SUR	8.77	0.49	0.00
	35 days	OLS	8.20	3.31	0.01
		GEE	9.05	3.85	0.02
		SUR	8.69	0.50	0.00
	42 days	OLS	8.26	2.85	0.00
		GEE	9.31	3.32	0.01
		SUR	10.25	0.47	0.00
		Average		8.87	
Period 2	28 days	OLS	3.15	3.08	0.31
		GEE	3.25	3.60	0.37
		SUR	5.67	0.44	0.00
	35 days	OLS	2.42	2.96	0.41
		GEE	2.27	3.46	0.51
		SUR	4.08	0.44	0.00
	42 days	OLS	3.45	2.82	0.22
		GEE	3.38	3.30	0.31
		SUR	5.00	0.44	0.00
		Average		3.63	
Period 3	28 days	OLS	-2.38	2.40	0.32
		GEE	-2.38	2.83	0.40
		SUR	-1.89	0.40	0.00
	35 days	OLS	-1.07	2.30	0.64
		GEE	-1.01	2.71	0.71
		SUR	-0.40	0.40	0.32
	42 days	OLS	-1.18	2.10	0.57
		GEE	-0.11	2.46	0.96
		SUR	0.69	0.41	0.09
		Average		-1.08	

Note: All the models include three trend variables and four control variables (UN voting index, Terrorism victims, GDP per capita, and Polity score). The OLS and SUR models also include country-specific dummies. The GEE models include two additional controls (Alliance with US and Muslim population). Also see Note in Table 1.

Table F.2: Estimated Effects of Visits on Percentage of Negative Responses

Period	Time Lag	Statistical Model	Estimated Effect	Standard Error	P-value
Period 1	28 days	OLS	-18.43	4.28	0.00
		GEE	-19.91	4.29	0.00
		SUR	-18.66	0.54	0.00
	35 days	OLS	-16.83	4.22	0.00
		GEE	-18.48	4.20	0.00
		SUR	-17.77	0.54	0.00
	42 days	OLS	-15.65	3.64	0.00
		GEE	-17.02	3.63	0.00
		SUR	-15.32	0.53	0.00
		Average		-17.56	
Period 2	28 days	OLS	-0.79	3.91	0.84
		GEE	-0.22	3.91	0.96
		SUR	0.64	0.56	0.26
	35 days	OLS	-0.07	3.77	0.99
		GEE	0.74	3.77	0.85
		SUR	0.35	0.57	0.53
	42 days	OLS	-1.62	3.61	0.65
		GEE	-1.07	3.61	0.77
		SUR	-2.16	0.57	0.00
		Average		-0.47	
Period 3	28 days	OLS	4.02	3.04	0.19
		GEE	3.71	3.07	0.23
		SUR	3.52	0.62	0.00
	35 days	OLS	2.95	2.94	0.32
		GEE	2.52	2.95	0.39
		SUR	3.96	0.64	0.00
	42 days	OLS	2.26	2.68	0.40
		GEE	1.06	2.68	0.69
		SUR	2.25	0.64	0.00
		Average		2.92	


Note: See Note in Table F.1.

Table F.3: Estimated Effects of Visits on Percentage of Other Responses

Period	Time Lag	Statistical Model	Estimated Effect	Standard Error	P-value	
Period 1	28 days	OLS	10.17	3.08	0.00	
		GEE	10.97	3.27	0.00	
		SUR	9.89	0.53	0.00	
	35 days	OLS	8.62	3.03	0.01	
		GEE	9.69	3.19	0.00	
		SUR	9.08	0.51	0.00	
	42 days	OLS	7.48	2.63	0.01	
		GEE	7.69	2.78	0.01	
		SUR	5.07	0.45	0.00	
		Average		8.74		
	Period 2	28 days	OLS	-2.28	2.81	0.42
			GEE	-3.21	2.98	0.28
SUR			-6.31	0.54	0.00	
35 days		OLS	-2.29	2.71	0.40	
		GEE	-3.15	2.87	0.27	
		SUR	-4.43	0.49	0.00	
42 days		OLS	-1.81	2.60	0.49	
		GEE	-2.37	2.78	0.39	
		SUR	-2.83	0.54	0.00	
		Average		-3.19		
Period 3		28 days	OLS	-1.55	2.19	0.48
			GEE	-1.17	2.34	0.62
	SUR		-1.63	0.65	0.01	
	35 days	OLS	-1.82	2.11	0.39	
		GEE	-1.35	2.25	0.55	
		SUR	-3.56	0.67	0.00	
	42 days	OLS	-1.03	1.94	0.59	
		GEE	-1.00	2.06	0.63	
		SUR	-2.94	0.68	0.00	
		Average		-1.78		

Note: See Note in Table F.1.

Appendix G: Estimated Effects of Visits in Three Post-9/11 Periods



Note: The solid lines indicate the distributions of the estimated percentages of positive (left panel) and negative (right panel) responses, when the president and/or the secretary of state visited a given country within five weeks before the first day of a survey (inclusive). The estimated marginal effects are based on SUR regressions. For the definitions of periods, see Note in Table 1.

Appendix H: Other Covariates Considered in Our Preliminary Analysis

We also consider a range of other variables as controls but dropped them because they were consistently insignificant regardless of model and variable specifications. They include the total trade with the U.S. (IMF, <http://www.imf.org/external/data.htm>); a measure of military capability (Correlates of War project, <http://www.correlatesofwar.org>); U.S. economic and military aid per capita (USAID Greenbook, <http://quesdb.usaid.gov/gbk/>); indicators of international crises, in which the U.S. was involved in some important way (International Crisis Behavior dataset, <http://www.cidcm.umd.edu/icb/data/>); and various categorical and continuous variables based on the number of people killed in terrorist incidents in a given country in a given year (Edward F. Mickolus, Todd Sandler, Jean M. Murdock, and Peter A. Flemming, *International Terrorism: Attributes of Events* [ITERATE] dataset, Vinyard Software, Inc, 2007 update) and the number of troops a given county has in Iraq and/or Afghanistan in a given year (from various sources).